

1
2
3
4 TROY KING,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 ALAMEDA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
8 CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES, *et al.*,
9 Defendants.

10 Case No. [21-cv-02839-SI](#)
11

12
**ORDER REVOKING IN FORMA
PAUPERIS STATUS FOR APPEAL**

13 Re: Dkt. No. 60

14 The Court dismissed the first amended complaint because plaintiff's claims against the
15 California Department of Motor Vehicles are barred by Eleventh Amendment immunity and his
16 claims against the Alameda County Department of Child Support Services are barred in part by the
17 *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine and otherwise fail to state a claim. The Court now certifies that the appeal
18 is not taken in good faith and revokes *in forma pauperis* status for plaintiff on appeal. *See* 28 U.S.C.
§ 1915(a)(3).

19
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: November 18, 2022

22
23 
SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge